Pupil premium strategy statement (primary)

| 1. Summary information | n | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| School | Barnby Nor | th Cove Primary | | |
| Academic Year | 2019/20 DRAFT & COSTED | Total PP budget £27, 525 | Date of most recent PP Review | |
| Total number of pupils | 110 | Number of pupils eligible for PP 20 | Date for next internal review of this strategy | |

| 2. Current attainment | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| | Pupils eligible for PP (your school) | Pupils not eligible for PP (national average) |
| % achieving in reading, writing and maths | | % |
| % making progress in reading | | % |
| % making progress in writing | | % |
| % making progress in maths | | % |

| 3. Ba | rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability) |
|---------------------------|--|
| In-sch | ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills) |
| A. | Pupils being "ready to learn" in class (pupils are in a secure place mentally/emotionally) |
| B. | Low speech and language skills, which impact on learning |
| C. D. | Poor learning behaviours eg organisation, commitment and resilience Gaps in prior learning |
| Externa | al barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates) |
| E. F. G. H. I | Consistent attendance and punctuality Access to resources out of school eg books, libraries, internet, life/learning experiences (especially cultural) Low aspirations from home about what can be achieved and how to be successful. Limited access to positive role models Lack of regular home routines including reading, homework and having access to the correct equipment to bring into school eg PE kit Possible poor parental engagement with school and perceptions of education. |
| 4 De | sired outcomes |

| | Desired outcomes and how they will be measured | Success criteria |
|----|---|--|
| A. | Disadvantaged pupils' attendance to improve and be consistently at least National level | Attendance for this group (94.54% in Spring term 2019) to be monitored regularly, improvements to be made and maintained. |
| B. | Pupils can access learning in class because their physiological, safety, belongingness and self- esteem needs are being met. Self- regulation and resilience techniques are promoted and meta cognition awareness developed | Pupils' readiness and learning continues to improve, as shown by Pupil Progress meetings and personalised learning impact. |
| C. | Gaps in learning are identified and targeted. Teaching/personalised learning teaches to fill these gaps and build upon them. | Half termly assessments/Pupil progress meetings will show at least expected progress for these pupils. |
| D. | Pupils read regularly and have access to high quality texts within school. Pupils have a variety of opportunities to be involved in writing speaking and listening | Pupils achieve at least expected level and progress in reading and writing and will be observed to engage with reading activities and begin to develop a life- long love of books. |
| E. | Pupils are excellent problem solvers and can apply mathematical skills in everyday life. | Pupils achieve at least expected level in and progress in maths and through engagement in alternative maths activities demonstrate ability to apply these skills. |
| F. | Pupils experience a wide range of social, cultural, aspirational and sporting experiences | Positive impact evidenced of providing these opportunities. |
| | | |

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of teaching for all

| Chosen action / approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| High quality teaching and high expectations of all, access to quality CPD for all staff, regular and robust monitoring of planning, teaching and assessment, robust recruitment, regular rewards for outstanding effort/achievement & class attendance, priority readers, regular targeted feedback for pupils, attendance at special subject events, additional SATs booster work. | The Sutton Trust found that "the effects of high quality teaching are especially significant for pupils from disadvantaged backgrounds; over a school year, these pupils gain 1.5 years' worth of learning with very effective teaching" | School monitoring cycle | Class teachers CW | Half termly |
| Facilitate these meetings termly and on a 1-1 basis | See John Hattie research below. Effective feedback can boost progress by up to 8 months, if closely targeted. NFER research found that a culture of mentoring and coaching will over time have a positive impact on young people and their learning. | As above | Class teachers | Half termly |
| _ | Approach High quality teaching and high expectations of all, access to quality CPD for all staff, regular and robust monitoring of planning, teaching and assessment, robust recruitment, regular rewards for outstanding effort/achievement & class attendance, priority readers, regular targeted feedback for pupils, attendance at special subject events, additional SATs booster work. Facilitate these meetings | High quality teaching and high expectations of all, access to quality CPD for all staff, regular and robust monitoring of planning, teaching and assessment, robust recruitment, regular rewards for outstanding effort/achievement & class attendance, priority readers, regular targeted feedback for pupils, attendance at special subject events, additional SATs booster work. Facilitate these meetings termly and on a 1-1 basis for this choice? The Sutton Trust found that "the effects of high quality teaching are especially significant for pupils from disadvantaged backgrounds; over a school year, these pupils gain 1.5 years' worth of learning with very effective teaching" See John Hattie research below. Effective feedback can boost progress by up to 8 months, if closely targeted. NFER research found that a culture of mentoring and coaching will over time have a positive impact on young people and their | As above Implemented well? Implemented well? | As above Implemented well? Implemented well? |

Total budgeted cost

(rewards £200, Extra

reading time £350, books £200, support in class £8435, additional sats booster time £300, supply cover for mentoring meeting, half covered by tla half supply £1500)

| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | When will you review implementation? |
|---|--|---|--|-------------|---|
| To ensure that pupils' basic needs are met, so they are ready to learn. | Daily breakfast club, invitations to PP children & also use this time for personalised learning. Parental engagement and support (parental workshops, access to PSA) | EEF research: nutritious breakfast can boost progress by up to two months per year. Breakfast clubs have also been shown to improve attendance and punctuality. Parental engagement greatest predictor of age 16 achievement (Feinstein & Symons) | Experienced staff to organise and run. Impact monitored. | KL/JB | Half termly |
| Gaps in learning to be identified and successfully addressed | Targeted personalised learning to be provided before/after school, as well as in school time. Pre learning and over learning techniques to be embedded. | Gaps analysis to allow for precisely targeted teaching. John Hattie research breaks down quality teaching into: pupils having clear objectives/goals & teachers providing pupils with modelling, scaffolding and appropriate next steps | Half termly Pupil progress meetings | CW/JW/LC | Half termly |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Total bu | dgeted cost | £8,800 (% of SENDCo cost £2100, breakfast club £3000, predicted cost of PSA £2000, personalised learning £1700) |
| iii. Other approach Desired outcome | es Chosen action/approach | What is the evidence and rationale for this choice? | How will you ensure it is implemented well? | Staff lead | (% of SENDCo cost £2100, breakfast club £3000, predicted cost of PSA £2000, personalised learning |

| | healthy cooking, Latin, ODL. | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------------|--|
| For pupils to receive targeted support for emotional literacy and speech and language difficulties | Emotional Literacy Support (ELSA) training for two members of staff at Borders. Welcom programme, Talk Boost programme | Sheila Burton (ELSA) research Cluster SENDCO recommendation for Welcom and previous success in school with Talk Boost | Timetabled CPD and personalised learning groups | JW/BS/Class teachers | Half termly |
| | | | Total bu | dgeted cost | £7740 (ed visits £990, access to clubs £1000, music lessons £3000, ELSA training and cover time £2750) |

| 6. Review of expe | enditure | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---|--|------|
| Previous Academic | Year | | | |
| i. Quality of teac | hing for all | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost |
| ii. Targeted supp | ort | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost |
| iii. Other approacl | hes | | | |
| Desired outcome | Chosen action/approach | Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. | Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) | Cost |

7. Additional detail